

FREEDOMINDEX.US

LEGISLATIVE SCORECARD

BASED ON THE PRINCIPLES OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION



View the Freedom Toolbox

Scan to learn more about the Freedom Index, view legislative alerts, and deepen your understanding of the U.S. Constitution and America's founding principles. Visit <https://freedomindex.us/tools/>



Roger Chase

South Dakota Representative, HD-022 (R)

freedomindex.us/10789/



SD Legislative Scorecard 2024: 33%

✓ Constitutional ✗ Unconstitutional — Did not Vote **Vote**

1. Airport Grants: Appropriates \$10,000,000 from the general fund to the Aeronautics Commission for grants aimed at enhancing airport terminal infrastructure projects, including airport expansion.

Estimated Cost per household: **-\$28.00/year.**

Constitutional: **No** · Cast: **Yes** · SB144 · 03/06/2024



2. Reject Eminent Domain: Prohibits companies from using eminent domain to construct pipelines primarily for transporting carbon oxide for geological storage or sequestration.

Constitutional: **Yes** · Cast: **No** · HB1219 · 02/20/2024



3. Prohibit Drag Shows on Campus: Prohibits the Board of Regents or any institution under its control from using state resources for drag shows or other "obscene live conduct" on college campuses.

Constitutional: **Yes** · Cast: **Yes** · HB1178 · 02/29/2024



4. Prohibit Guaranteed Income Programs: Prohibits counties, townships, and municipalities in South Dakota from establishing ordinances, orders, or rules that implement a guaranteed income program.

Constitutional: **Yes** · Cast: **Yes** · SB115 · 02/26/2024



5. Pipeline Easements: Forces landowners to grant a right-of-way for carbon dioxide pipelines, putting their property at risk, disguising it as "property rights."

Constitutional: **No** · Cast: **Yes** · HB1186 · 03/06/2024



6. Carbon-Capture Pipeline: Facilitates the construction of carbon-capture pipelines that threaten property rights, permits state authorities to override local ordinances regulating the pipelines for safety or economic reasons, and fails to impose restrictions on eminent domain.



Constitutional: **No** · Cast: **Yes** · SB201 · 03/06/2024

Why do these votes matter?

1. Airport Grants

SB144 appropriates \$10,000,000 from the general fund to the Aeronautics Commission for grants aimed at enhancing airport terminal infrastructure projects, including expansion and meeting future capacity needs at public airports.

The South Dakota State House of Representatives passed SB144 on March 6, 2024 by a vote of 55 to 15. We have assigned pluses to the nays because funding for airport terminal infrastructure projects should not be the government's responsibility. Government-issued grants often give the government or bureaucracy the authority to choose winners and losers, leading to an expansion of government and increased interference in the private sector.

2. Reject Eminent Domain

HB1219 prohibits companies from using eminent domain to construct pipelines primarily for transporting carbon oxide for geological storage or sequestration.

The South Dakota State House of Representatives rejected to place HB1219 on the calendar by a vote of 32 to 36. We have assigned pluses to the ayes because eminent domain for private corporations violates the U.S. Constitution, specifically the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments. The Fifth Amendment explicitly states, "nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation." Furthermore, the Fourteenth Amendment declares, "nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law."

3. Prohibit Drag Shows on Campus

HB1178 prohibits the Board of Regents or any institution under its control from using state resources for drag shows or other "obscene live conduct" on college campuses.

The South Dakota State House of Representatives passed HB1178 on February 29, 2024 by a vote of 62 to 7. We have assigned pluses to the ayes because taxpayer dollars should not go toward programs with a strong leftist agenda.

4. Prohibit Guaranteed Income Programs

SB115 prohibits counties, townships, and municipalities in South Dakota from establishing ordinances, orders, or rules that implement a guaranteed income program.

The South Dakota State House of Representatives passed SB115 on February 26, 2024 by a vote of 64 to 5. We have assigned pluses to the ayes because the concept of a "guaranteed income" (i.e., 'universal basic income') relies on the immoral and anti-constitutional act of government-imposed theft disguised as taxation, which takes from citizens the wages they have rightfully earned. This manifestly socialist wealth-redistribution scheme violates both the Bill of Rights and the 14th Amendment, which were intended to protect against undue deprivation or disparagement of a person's "property" and provide "equal protection of the laws" for all Americans.

5. Pipeline Easements

HB1186 establishes that a carbon pipeline easement is a right granted by a landowner for transmitting carbon dioxide by pipeline. The easement must be in writing, recorded, and filed with the county's register of deeds. The easement is valid for up to ninety-nine years and becomes void if business operations do not start within five years of recording. Any mortgages or encumbrances on the easement are the easement holder's responsibility and do not affect the landowner.

The South Dakota State House of Representatives passed HB1186 on March 6, 2024 by a vote of 41 to 29. We have assigned pluses to the nays because, while this bill sounds like it protects property owners, it still allows eminent domain for carbon-capture pipelines where easements will be in place for 99 years or more. Additionally, these carbon-capture pipelines have direct ties to the United Nation's Agenda 2030 and the disingenuous environmental movement and states should reject these unconstitutional acts at all costs.

6. Carbon-Capture Pipeline

SB201 facilitates the construction of carbon-capture pipelines that threaten property rights, permits state authorities to override local ordinances regulating the pipelines for safety or economic reasons, and fails to impose restrictions on eminent domain.

The South Dakota State House of Representatives passed SB201 on March 6, 2024 by a vote of 39 to 31. We have assigned pluses to the nays because state governments should not implement any aspect of the UN's Agenda 2030, as it is unconstitutional and undermines state sovereignty. Additionally, this legislation advances the Marxist climate-change agenda and threatens property rights.